

# Digital Technology Use and Academic Dishonesty Among Students At Alvan Ikoku Federal University of Education, Owerri

Alaribe Gilbert Nwadinobi<sup>#1</sup>, Ileke Sabina<sup>\*2</sup>, Okafor Chidozie Raymond Patrick<sup>#3</sup>

<sup>#1</sup>Department of Christian Religious Studies, <sup>\*2</sup>Department of Economics, <sup>#3</sup>Department of Computer Science; Alvan Ikoku Federal University of Education, Owerri, Nigeria

+2348108242757, [okafor.crp@gmail.com](mailto:okafor.crp@gmail.com)

**Abstract** — The study examined digital technology use and academic dishonesty among students of Alvan Ikoku Federal University of Education (AIFUE), Owerri. As a contextual replication, it was motivated by growing evidence that digital technologies facilitated academic dishonesties. The study therefore, identified the technologies most frequently used for academic purposes, the forms of dishonest practices associated with them, and their effects on students' academic outcomes. A descriptive survey design was adopted, with a population of 5,223 undergraduate students in the 2024/2025 academic session. A sample of 320 respondents was selected using proportionate stratified random sampling. Data were collected using the "Digital Technologies and Student Academic Dishonesties (DTechSAD)" instrument, comprising three clusters with Cronbach reliability coefficients of 0.88, 0.86, and 0.89. The instrument employed four-point Likert scales, tailored to each research question. Mean and frequency counts were used to answer the research questions, while Chi-square statistics; tested significance at the 0.05 level. Findings revealed that ChatGPT (mean: 3.6) was the most frequently used digital tool for academic activities; the students engaged in various dishonest practices including plagiarism (mean: 3.5) and sharing assignment answers (mean: 3.6) through the digital platforms, and the practice had high negative effect on their academic outcome (mean: 2.8). The study therefore concluded that digital technologies significantly contributed to academic dishonesties among undergraduate students at AIFUE, Owerri. Actionable recommendations were made among which were for the introduction of orientation programmes that framed academic dishonesties as serious moral issues and the promotion of interactive strategies tailored towards reducing students' overreliance on digital technologies for dishonest practices.)

**Keywords** — Digital Technology Use; Academic Dishonesty; Undergraduate Students; Contextual Replication; Academic Outcomes.

## I. INTRODUCTION

Globally, digital technology is reshaping the way we create, store, process, share and access information in a dramatic especially in developing countries like Nigeria (Kayode & Yohanna, 2025). It has become the backbone of modern life, and its electric nature has made it more wonderful in terms of speed, ease of access as well as utilization. Hence, it can now be considered as an indispensable tool in various areas of human endeavor ranging from medicine, to commerce, banking, security as well as education facets (Egbulem et al., 2024). Digital technology is defined in various ways; (Zha, 2024), viewed digital technology as the use of electronic systems to create, store, process and communicate information, while Nnajiubah, (2024) referred to digital technology as the use of electronic systems to convert information into binary codes zero's (0's) and one's (1's) for easy storage processing and transmission. From the various views above, it is evident that digital devices alone cannot be regarded as a digital technology, rather their usages for creating, processing, storing, sharing as well as receiving information, is what qualifies them as digital technologies.

Education is one of the areas of human endeavor that digital technology is critically playing a wonderful role at enhancing through meaningful interactivities and engagements among teachers and learners as well as among the learners themselves

(Pavelko et al., 2025; Shaheen & Shaikh, 2024). As technology makes access to information very easy and fast, it has guaranteed wider varieties of learning materials available for learning, making teaching easier, study periods enjoyable as well as enhancing students' mental wellbeing towards a more productive learning experiences among the students (Mdhlalose & Mlambo, 2023).

There is hardly any material or system that has no negative effects, no matter how little and digital technology has not been an exception in having its negative vices such as use for spreading misinformation and outright lies (Radu & Petcu, 2024), cyberbullying and attacks (Beluce et al., 2023; Bouramdane, 2023), as well as other pleasantries found in the cyber space which has the potency of leading someone into states of anxiety, depression, addiction, as well as other mental health disorders (Lahti et al., 2024). Besides affecting mental health, digital technology appears to have a link with student's academic dishonesty as students' academic dishonesty (SAD) has come up as one of the behavioral problems that schools of learning battle with in recent times (Thomas & De Bruin, 2024a). SAD refers to a range of deceptive behaviors that violate the integrity of academic work ranging from plagiarism, cheating, collusion, fabrication, falsification, and sabotage of the academic processes (Thomas & De Bruin, 2024a). Sequel to this, the research refers to SAD as student's involvement in dishonest acts and behaviors, that contravenes the academic rules and regulations guiding the establishment of the institutions of learning.

Research has shown that it is highly probable that digital technologies has made it easier for students to indulge in academic dishonesty as (Mandal, 2023), posited that people acquired new behaviours, attitudes and emotional reactions by observing and imitating others within a social context. Also, students who were not fully aware of these forms of dishonesty in the traditional school environment, gradually through meaningful interactions with the ones who were already deep in the act, learns of the behaviors, supporting the differential association theory which maintained that those who associated with criminals becomes criminals themselves especially in younger adults (Maloku, 2025). Furthermore, several verses of the bible (old & new testaments), discouraged us from being dishonest such as Proverb 6:16, Proverb 12:22, Hosea 4:2, John 8: 44, and Colossians 3:9-10.

Despite the possible penalties which vary from school to school and the strong warning and teachings against dishonesty, many students have continued to be dishonesty in carrying or reporting their academic activities and tasks. According to several research conducted in Romania, the United Kingdom, Poland and the United States of America, approximately forty-seven percent (47%) to eighty-eight percent (88%) of students have engaged in academic dishonesty at one point or the other either through examination misconduct or other forms of academic assessment (Ahmed, 2018; Baran & Jonason, 2020).

Guided by these, the research sought to achieve the following:

1. Determine the digital technologies frequently used by the undergraduate students of AIFUE, Owerri for academic purposes;
2. Examine the forms of dishonesties the students indulge in through the digital technologies; and
3. Assess the effects of the academic dishonesties on the undergraduate students' academic outcome.

To achieve the above objectives, the following questions were postulated by the study:

1. What digital technologies are frequently used by undergraduate students of AIFUE, Owerri for academic purposes?
2. What forms of dishonesties do the students indulge in through digital technologies at AIFUE, Owerri?
3. To what extent do the academic dishonesties affect the undergraduate students' academic outcome at AIFUE, Owerri?

## Hypotheses

**H<sub>01</sub>:** The extent to which the academic dishonesties affect the undergraduate students' academic outcome at AIFUE, Owerri is not significant at  $p < 0.05$

**H<sub>A1</sub>:** The extent to which the academic dishonesties affect the undergraduate students' academic outcome at AIFUE, Owerri is not significant at  $p < 0.05$

## II. CONCEPTUAL REVIEW

### A. Academic Technologies

Technology refers to the application of scientific knowledge, methods, and tools for solving practical problems geared towards the improvement of human lives. It encompasses a broad range of simple inventions to very complex ones like artificial intelligence and quantum computing, evolving through human ingenuity for addressing the human needs in areas such as communication, transportation, and healthcare (Agar, 2020). In essence, technologies drive progress by transforming raw materials, energy, and information into usable forms, often categorized into types such as information technology, biotechnology, and industrial manufacturing, forming an interconnected system influenced by societal values for enabled efficiencies (Carroll, 2022; Nightingale, 2024).

Academic technologies, often referred to as educational technologies (EdTech), refers to those digital tools, platforms, and systems designed to enhance teaching, learning, and research in academic settings. They apply technological principles to facilitate knowledge delivery, student engagement, and administrative efficiency, evolving from basic electronic learning (e-learning) software to advanced AI-driven solutions (Dron, 2022; Glowatz & O'Brien, 2019). Common EdTech include:

1. Learning Management Systems (LMS): For course delivery and assessments, which include Canvas, Google Classroom, Blackboard, and Moodle (Furqon et al., 2023);
2. Virtual Reality (VR) Tools: For simulations and laboratory practices such as Labster (Bhogayata et al., 2025); and
3. Artificial Intelligence (AI) Platforms: For personalized tutoring and user-centric feedbacks such as ChatGPT, Claude and Perplexity (Li, 2024).

### B. Dishonesty in Academic Environment

Dishonesty in academic environment, refers to any intentional act of deception, that compromises the integrity of learning, teaching, and research processes. It includes a wide spectrum of behaviors, ranging from plagiarism: the submission of the works done by other researchers without proper attributions; to cheating in examinations through the unauthorized use of hidden notes, digital tools or online proxies (Monahan & Shah, 2022; Thomas & De Bruin, 2024b). For instance:

1. A graduate student in cybersecurity, plagiarizing the methodology sections of other theses, and claiming its originalities;
2. An undergraduate, using AI tools to generate essays and answers to assignments;
3. The use of impersonators and group chats during examinations for sharing of answers to examination questions;

4. Contract cheating through essay mills, where paid services produce entire dissertations, exemplifying organized dishonesties particularly in high-stakes postgraduate programmes.

Such cases, mirror patterns in institutions worldwide with surveys indicating 60-70% of students admitting to some form of academic dishonesties annually (Dangiso et al., 2026). These practices which has grown with the aid of digital tools, erode the foundational trust in academia where high emphasis is laid on very honest intellectual efforts.

### C. Spiritually Informed Perspective on Dishonesty

Spiritually, dishonesty is viewed beyond social and ethical lapse to a moral and relational breach, to both God and the society (Gocen & Özgan, 2018). In the biblical tradition, dishonesty is repeatedly condemned as a violation of divine law and a distortion of created order as seen in Proverbs 12:22, which declared that “the lord detests lying lips, but delights in people who are trustworthy” (Ajewole & Olarewaju, 2026). This underscores the importance of truthfulness in the centrality of one’s relationship with God. Similarly, Colossians 3:9–10 exhorted believers and declared that, “believers should not lie to each other, since they have taken off their old self with its practices, and put on the new self in Christ”, framing honesty as an expression of transformed identity in Christ (Armah et al., 2026; Cornelius, 2022).

From the theological standpoint, dishonesty is often linked to deeper spiritual conditions such as pride, greed, and a lack of trust in God’s provision. The story of Ananias and Sapphira in Acts 5 portrayed dishonesty within the early Christian community as a serious spiritual offense that disrupts communal integrity and invites divine judgment (Harrill, 2021). Jesus’ teaching in the Sermon on the Mount (Matthew 5:33–37) elevated simple truth-telling such as “let your ‘Yes’ be yes, and your ‘No,’ no”; to a standard that reflected the righteousness of the kingdom of God (Okafor et al., 2024). In this sense, spiritual writers and researchers have interpreted dishonesties as symptomatic of disordered hearts, where self-interest overrides covenantal fidelity as well as love for neighbors (Mazar et al., 2018).

Contemporary review literature in moral and spiritual psychology, has expanded these biblical insights through the exploration of means through which religious beliefs and practices, has shaped attitudes that affects an individual’s honesties and integrities. Studies on virtue-based approaches, highlighted that spiritual traditions fostered self-examination, accountability, and confession, all of which served as corrective mechanisms against dishonest behavior (Shalvi et al., 2024). Review-oriented moral-education papers, further argued that grounding honesty as not just a social norm but transcended into moral orders, will strengthen moral resolve especially in situations where dishonesty might yield short-term gains. Thus, a spiritually informed view of dishonesty integrates scriptural teaching with modern ethical reflection, positioning truthfulness as both a theological imperative as well as a practical virtue, essentially required for personal holiness as well as communal trust (Ilesanmi et al., 2024).

### D. Review Summary

Academic technologies also referred to as educational technologies, denotes digital tools, platforms, and systems; ranging from learning management systems such as Canvas, and Google Classrooms, to virtual reality simulations and AI-driven platforms like ChatGPT, and Claude. These are designed to enhance teaching, learning, and research operations through the application of technological principles geared towards knowledge delivery, student engagement, as well as administrative efficiencies. Hence, an evolution from the basic electronic learning (e learning) management systems to more advanced AI-driven solutions, propelled by innovative human ingenuities.

Furthermore, dishonesty in the academic environment was seen to encompass intentional deceptive acts such as plagiarism, examination cheating, AI-generated submissions, impersonation through group chats, and contract cheating. These acts, undermines the general integrity of learning, teaching, and research processes, with global surveys revealing that about sixty to seventy percent (60-70%) of students, admitted carrying out such practices annually propelled by digital tools, thereby eroding the foundational trust in honest intellectual endeavors.

Subsequently, spiritually informed perspectives conceived dishonesties as profound moral and relational sin against God and the society. It etiologically linked dishonesties to theological antecedents of pride, greed, and deficient trust in divine provision. Also, contemporary moral psychology underscored religious practices of self-examination and confessions, which were identified as pivotal for cultivating the virtues of truthfulness, theologically imperative for personal holiness and societal trusts.

This measurement and others are deliberate, using specifications that anticipate your paper as one part of the entire proceedings, and not as an independent document. Please do not revise any of the current designations.

## III. METHODOLOGY

The study adopted descriptive survey design, and had a population of five thousand, two hundred and twenty-three (5223) undergraduate students of Alvan Ikoku Federal University of Education (AIFUE) Owerri, in the 2024/2025 academic session. Proportionate stratified random sampling technique, was used to draw a sample of three hundred and twenty (320) respondents from the population. The instrument for data collection themed: “Digital Technology and Students Academic Dishonesty” (DTechSAD); consisted of three (3) clusters; I, II and III. Cluster-I was structured on a four-point frequency of use scale of Always ((4-points), Occasionally (3-points), Rarely (2-points), and Never (1-point). Subsequently, Cluster-II was structured on a four-point Likert scale rating of Strongly Agree (SA, 4-points), Agree (A, 3-points), Disagree (D, 2-points), and Strongly Disagree (SD, 1-point), while Cluster-III was structured on a four-point Likert scale rating of Very High Extent (VHE, 4-points), High Extent (HE, 3-points), Low extent (LE, 2-points), and Very Low Extent (VLE, 1-point). Cluster-1 focused on research question one; Cluster-II on research question two while Cluster-III focused on research

question three. The instrument which was validated by experts in the Christian Religious Studies Department; Measurement and Evaluation, as well as Computer Science Departments, had

reliability coefficient of 0.88, 0.86 and 0.89 respectively. Frequency counts, and mean were used to answer the research questions, while Chi-Square statistics was used to test the hypothesis at  $p < 0.05$  significance level.

#### IV. RESULTS

##### A. *Research Question One: What digital technologies are frequently used by undergraduate students of AIFUE, Owerri for academic purposes?*

The summary of the digital technologies used by the undergraduate students is presented in table 1:

Variable	Always (4)	Occasionally (3)	Rarely (2)	Never (1)
Google Classroom	20	85	105	110
Canvas	15	75	90	140
Blackboard	15	70	85	150
ChatGPT	210	90	10	10
Other AI Tools	70	160	50	40
WhatsApp	40	130	70	80
YouTube	40	70	150	60

The analysis on table 1 revealed the following: the AI tool (ChatGPT), was always used (3.6) by the students for academic purposes; search engines such as Google (3.0), and Perplexity and Claude AI tools (2.8) were occasionally used; while WhatsApp platforms (2.4); Virtual Reality tools (2.3); YouTubes (2.3); Google Classroom (2.0), Canva (1.9), Blackboard (1.8) and other learning management systems (2.0) were rarely used by the undergraduate students at AIFUE, Owerri. With a mean of 3.6, the AI tool (ChatGPT) was revealed to be the most frequently used digital technology by undergraduate students of AIFUE, Owerri for academic purposes.

##### B. *Research Question Two: What forms of academic dishonesties do the students indulge in through digital technologies at AIFUE, Owerri?*

The summary of the various forms of dishonesties the students indulge in through digital technologies at AIFUE, Owerri was presented in table 2:

Variable	SA (4)	A (3)	D (2)	SD (1)	Frequen (N)
Plagiarizing articles got from Google search engine without proper citation	178	128	12	02	320
Paraphrasing works accessed through YouTube without proper acknowledgement	80	120	80	40	320
Submitting work done by ChatGPT	320	360	160	40	880
Using AI-generated text when prohibited by instructor	268	40	10	02	320
Using ChatGPT & Google search engines in examinations	1072	120	20	02	1214
Obtaining test answers in advance through WhatsApp platforms	80	160	60	20	320
Copying other student's	320	480	120	20	940
	80	160	57	23	320
	320	480	114	23	937
	200	88	24	08	320
	800	264	48	08	1120
	118	138	55	09	320

The analysis on table 2, revealed the various forms of academic dishonesties the undergraduates indulged in with the aid of digital technologies as follows: submitted works done by ChatGPT AI tool (3.8); shared answers to assignments through WhatsApp Platforms (3.6); plagiarized articles gotten from Google search engine, without proper citations (3.5); used Canva for generating answers to assignments (3.5); as well as obtaining test answers in advance through WhatsApp and ChatGPT platforms (3.5). Furthermore, it was revealed that the students copied the assignments of their classmates from Google Classroom (3.1); used ChatGPT and Google during examinations (2.9) to generate texts prohibited by the instructor(s), as well as paraphrasing the works accessed through YouTube channels without properly acknowledging its source (2.75). Finally, it was revealed that the students only used the digital technologies for personal gains and not to carry out the academic tasks for other students (1.2), thereby allowing their mates to copy from them after they are done accessing dishonest answers from the digital technologies.

##### C. *Research Question Three: To what extent do the academic dishonesties affect the undergraduate students' academic outcome at AIFUE, Owerri?*

The analysis of research question three was presented in table 3:

**Table 3: Effects of Academic Dishonesties on Aca**

Variable	VHE (4)	HE (3)	LE (2)	VLE (1)	Frequ (N)
Plagiarizing articles from Google has discouraged me from carrying out thorough research	153	148	15	04	32
I cannot properly acknowledge and cite works gotten from YouTube	70	120	85	45	32
ChatGPT has made me too lazy to critically develop my ideas	280	360	179	45	85
AI-generated text has made me have higher grades in school	198	90	20	12	32
ChatGPT & Google use enables me pass my exams frequently	792	270	40	12	111
Test answers obtained through WhatsApp platforms has improved my performances in school	40	100	140	40	32
Copying other student's assignment from Google Classroom helps me stay afloat in school	160	300	280	40	78
	170	120	17	13	32
	680	360	34	13	108
	47	65	170	38	32
	188	195	340	38	76
	98	138	55	29	32
	392	414	110	29	94

The analysis of research question three as represented in table 3 made the following revelations: to a very high extent, sharing answers through WhatsApp platforms (3.6), has enhanced students speed at assignment submissions while use of ChatGPT has made them too lazy to critically use their cognition in problem solving (3.5). Also, to a high extent, plagiarism (3.4), has discouraged the art of researching among students; ChatGPT and Google platforms use has enabled the frequent passing of examinations (3.4); copying other students' assignments has helped the students stay afloat in their academics (3.0); inability to properly cite works gotten through digital technologies (2.7); as well as helping one another carry out assignments (2.5).

Furthermore, to a low extent of 2.4, AI generated texts and answers gotten through WhatsApp platforms has led to improvements of the students' academic performances. Subsequently, to a very low extent of 1.4, the students use Canva to generate answers to assignments. With a cumulative mean of 2.8, the analysis of research question three revealed that to a high extent, academic dishonesties through digital technologies has affected undergraduate students' academic outcomes at AIFUE, Owerri.

**D. Hypothesis One:** *The extent to which academic dishonesties affected the undergraduate students' academic outcomes at AIFUE, Owerri is not significant at  $p < 0.05$ .*

The summary of the Chi-Square test of the hypothesis is summarily presented in table 4:

**Table 4. Chi-Square Analysis on Relationship between Academic Dishonesties**

Variable	VHE (4)	HE (3)	LE (2)	VLE (1)	$\chi^2$ Value (Calculated)	$\chi^2$ Value (Tabulated)
Cumulative	1016	1079	737	438	290.70	7.81

The analysis on table 4 revealed that the critical value (7.81) was less than the calculated value (290.70), and also the p-value (0.001) was less than the significance limit (0.05).

Guided by these expositions, table 4 revealed that to a high extent of 2.8, the effects of academic dishonesties through digital technologies on undergraduate students' academic outcome at AIFUE, Owerri was highly significant at  $p < 0.05$ .

## V. DISCUSSION

The findings from the analysis of research question one as presented in table 1, revealed a pronounced reliance on the AI tool; ChatGPT (3.6) among AIFUE undergraduate students for academic purposes, surpassing search engines (Google, 3.0), other AI platforms (Perplexity & Claude, 2.8), and WhatsApp groups (2.4). Also, Virtual Reality platforms (2.3), YouTube (2.3), Google Classroom (2.0), Canvas (1.9), Blackboard (1.8), and other LMS platforms (2.0), witnessed a rare to occasional use by the student. This dominance of generative AI, while reflecting accessible mobile solutions in resource-constrained Nigerian higher education, signaled heightened academic dishonesty risks, as frequent ChatGPT engagements facilitated undetected plagiarism, essay fabrication, as well as proxy work amid institutional LMS oversight. Therefore, there is need to necessitate targeted AIFUE interventions, including AI integrated plagiarism detectors, ethical tech training, and campaigns, framing academic dishonesties as a spiritual moral crime, towards balancing the digital technology benefits with corresponding academic integrities.

Also, the analysis of research question two as shown in table 2, delineated the prevalent forms of academic dishonesties facilitated by digital technologies among AIFUE undergraduates, with the highest mean scores attributed to the submission of ChatGPT-generated works (3.8), sharing assignment answers via WhatsApp groups (3.6), plagiarizing uncited Google search results (3.5), Canva-generated content (3.5), and obtaining pre-examination answers through WhatsApp groups and ChatGPT tool (3.5). Further revelations showed that copying classmates' Google Classroom assignments (3.1), unauthorized ChatGPT/Google use during examinations (2.9), and unacknowledged YouTube paraphrasing (2.75), were also prevalent among the undergraduates. Notably, students rarely performed tasks for others (1.2), rather they leverage these tools selfishly before permitting peers to copy, highlighting a collaborative dishonesty culture enabled by ubiquitous AI and mobile platforms in Nigeria's resource limited higher education context. These findings directly implicate the high ChatGPT adoption from research question one (table 1), as a dishonesty vector, underscoring the urgent need for AIFUE to deploy AI-detection tools, enforce LMS proctoring, and integrate spiritually informed ethics training, for curbing such breaches while preserving technology's legitimate academic utility.

Furthermore, the analysis of research question three as presented in table 3, revealed that digital technology-enabled academic dishonesties, had high effects on AIFUE undergraduate students' academic outcomes (cumulative mean: 2.8), with WhatsApp answer-sharing accelerating assignment submissions to a very high extent (3.6) while ChatGPT fostered cognitive laziness in problem-solving (3.5). Subsequently, the analysis revealed that plagiarism discourages independent research (3.4), ChatGPT/Google use enabled frequent examination successes (3.4), copywriting peers' assignments was used to maintain academic standing (3.0), as well as poor

citation practices (2.7), and collaborative task completion (2.5). These revelations, corroborated the findings of research questions one and two; by linking heavy reliance on ChatGPT and WhatsApp platforms, to a cycle of expediency over authentic learning in Nigeria's constrained educational environment, hence a stronger need for AIFUE to implement more robust countermeasures to disrupt this dishonesty-performance nexus towards restoring genuine academic achievement in tertiary educations.

## VI. CONCLUSIONS

Guided by the outcome of the study, the following conclusions are made:

1. Undergraduate students of AIFUE, Owerri variably use the digital technologies ChatGPT, Google platforms, Perplexity/Claude AI tools, WhatsApp platforms, Virtual Reality, YouTube, and other learning management systems (Google Classroom, Canvas, & Blackboard) for academic activities;
2. The students use the digital technologies to engage in academic dishonesties of submitting ChatGPT-generated works, exchanging answers to assignments, plagiarizing uncited works, obtaining pre-examination answers, cloning assignments, as well as examination malpractices;
3. These academically dishonest practices; aided by the digital technologies, has highly affected the academic outcome of the undergraduate students at AIFUE, Owerri.

## VII. RECOMMENDATIONS

Based on the research findings, the following were recommended:

1. Universities of learning especially AIFUE, Owerri; should integrate advanced AI detection tools like Turnitin; into their digital technology platforms in order to automatically check the originality of students' submissions against AI-generated and externally sourced contents in real-time;
2. Lecturers should be trained to spot signs of AI-generated fabrication, enforce proper citation of sources, and adopt modern teaching methods that use tools like ChatGPT for genuine research while reducing academic dishonesties;
3. Religious organizations should create mandatory orientation programs that present academic dishonesties as a serious moral issue, integrating interactive sessions that addresses the overreliance on digital technologies, as well as promoting integrity, self-reflection, and honesty in students;
4. Academic stakeholders should examine why students engage in academic dishonesties in order to develop targeted, restorative solutions that will help the students use the digital technologies honestly.

## REFERENCES

- [1] Agar, J. (2020). What is technology? *Annals of Science*, 77(3), 377–382. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00033790.2019.1672788>
- [2] Ahmed, K. (2018). Student Perceptions of Academic Dishonesty in a Private Middle Eastern University. *Higher Learning Research Communications*, 8(1). <https://doi.org/10.18870/hlrc.v8i1.400>
- [3] Ajewole, A. D., & Olarewaju, O. E. (2026). Interpreting Hōn Mehebel <i>Yimmat</i> in Proverbs 13:11 as a wisdom critique for corrupt leaders in Nigeria. *Nsukka Journal of Religion and Cultural Studies*, 14(1). <https://doi.org/10.4314/njrcs.v14i1.6>
- [4] Armah, I., Boateng, P. A., Owusu, J., Mensah, B. A., Polley-Kwofie, K., & Antwi, M. O. (2026). Greed, Deception and Organizational Collapse: Theological Insights into Business Ethics. *International Journal of Research and Innovation in Social Science*, 10(1), 3783–3793. <https://doi.org/10.47772/IJRIS.2026.10100295>
- [5] Baran, L., & Jonason, P. K. (2020). Academic dishonesty among university students: The roles of the psychopathy, motivation, and self-efficacy. *PLOS ONE*, 15(8), e0238141. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0238141>
- [6] Beluce, A. C., Oliveira, K. L. de, Ferraz, A. S., & Almeida, L. da S. (2023). Cyberbullying and Motivation to Learn with Digital Technologies: Identification and Correlation. *Psicologia: Teoria e Pesquisa*, 39(spe). <https://doi.org/10.1590/0102.3772e39nspe07.en>
- [7] Bhogayata, A. C., Jadeja, R. B., Parakhiya, D., Vora, T. P., Ved, A. D., & Rachchh, N. V. (2025). Harnessing the Technology-Enhanced Learning for Cognitive Progress: A Meta-Analysis of Learners' and Teachers' Reflections on Working with Virtual Reality Tools in Engineering Education. *Journal of Engineering Education Transformations*, 38(Special Issue 2). <https://doi.org/10.16920/jeet/2025/v38is2/25019>
- [8] Bouramdane, A.-A. (2023). Cyberattacks in Smart Grids: Challenges and Solving the Multi-Criteria Decision Making for Cybersecurity Options, Including Ones That Incorporate Artificial Intelligence, Using an Analytical Hierarchy Process. *Journal of Cybersecurity and Privacy*, 3(4), 662–705. <https://doi.org/10.3390/jcp3040031>
- [9] Carroll, L. (2022). A Comprehensive Definition of Technology from an Ethological Perspective. *Social Sciences*, 6(4), 126. <https://doi.org/10.3390/socsci6040126>
- [10] Cornelius, E. M. (2022). The attributes of God in Ephesians and Colossians – A comparison. In *Die Skriflig/In Luce Verbi*, 56(1). <https://doi.org/10.4102/ids.v56i1.2812>
- [11] Dangiso, M. S., Wontamo, A. P., & Abera, B. B. (2026). Analysis of academic dishonesty among secondary school students with particular emphasis on attitudes, academic achievement, grade level and gender. *Discover Education*. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s44217-026-01429-6>
- [12] Dron, J. (2022). Educational technology: what it is and how it works. *AI & SOCIETY*, 37(1), 155–166. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00146-021-01195-z>
- [13] Egbulem, P. C., Khairir, K., Rasak, B., Ake, M., GaniuRasaq, O., & Ukashatu, A. R. (2024). The Impact of Technology on Work and Society: Nigerian Experience. *Open Access Journal of Social Sciences Research*, 2(2), 1–13.
- [14] Furqon, M., Sinaga, P., Liliarsari, L., & Riza, L. S. (2023). The Impact of Learning Management System (LMS) Usage on Students. *TEM Journal*, 12(2). <https://doi.org/10.18421/TEM122-54>
- [15] Glowatz, M., & O'Brien, O. (2019). Academic Engagement and Technology: Revisiting the Technological, Pedagogical and Content Knowledge Framework (TPACK) in Higher Education (HE): The Academics' Perspectives. *IAFOR Journal of Education*, 5(SI). <https://doi.org/10.22492/ije.5.si.06>
- [16] Gocen, A., & Özgan, H. (2018). Spirituality and Ethics: A Literature Review. *Gaziantep University Journal of Social Sciences*, 17, 58–65. <https://doi.org/10.21547/jss.452152>
- [17] Harrill. (2021). Divine Judgment against Ananias and Sapphira (Acts 5:1-11): A Stock Scene of Perjury and Death. *Journal of Biblical Literature*, 130(2), 351. <https://doi.org/10.2307/41304205>
- [18] Ilesanmi, D. A., Imuwahen, T. O., & Akanbi, D. M. (2024). Religiosity and Morality in Contemporary Churches in Nigeria: Critical Points of Disconnections Between Faith and Praxis. *African Journal of Kingdom Education* |, 2(1), 1. <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.10951748>

- [19] Kayode, A., & Yohanna, A. S. (2025). Technology development and national development in Nigeria . *Journal of Emerging Technologi Es (JET)*, 5(1), 1. <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.15360104>
- [20] Lahti, H., Kokkonen, M., Hietajärvi, L., Lyyra, N., & Paakkari, L. (2024). Social media threats and health among adolescents: evidence from the health behaviour in school-aged children study. *Child and Adolescent Psychiatry and Mental Health*, 18(1), 62. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13034-024-00754-8>
- [21] Li, Y. (2024). “Will artificial intelligence platforms replace designers in the future?” analyzing the impact of artificial intelligence platforms on the engineering design industry through color perception. *Engineering Applications of Artificial Intelligence*, 138. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.engappai.2024.109369>
- [22] Maloku, A. (2025). Theory of Differential Association. *Academic Journal of Interdisciplinary Studies*, 9(1), 170. <https://doi.org/10.36941/ajis-2020-0015>
- [23] Mandal, M. A. A. (2023). Social Learning Theory: An Overview and Application in Understanding Human Behaviour. *International Journal of Trend in Scientific Research and Development (IJTSRD)*, 7(5).
- [24] Mazar, N., Amir, O., & Ariely, D. (2018). The Dishonesty of Honest People: A Theory of Self-Concept Maintenance. *Journal of Marketing Research*, 45(6), 633–644. <https://doi.org/10.1509/jmkr.45.6.633>
- [25] Mdhlalose, D., & Mlambo, G. (2023). Integration of Technology in Education and its Impact on Learning and Teaching. *Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies*, 47(2), 54–63. <https://doi.org/10.9734/ajess/2023/v47i21021>
- [26] Monahan, M., & Shah, A. (2022). Academic Dishonesty: An Exploratory Study of Traditional Versus Non Traditional Students. *Research in Higher Education Journal*, 43, 1–12.
- [27] Nightingale, P. (2024). What is Technology? Six Definitions and Two Pathologies. *SSRN Electronic Journal*. <https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.2743113>
- [28] Nnajiubah, E. N. (2024). The Role of Digital Technology in Improving Small and Medium Enterprises (SMES) Growth (A Theoretical Approach). *World Journal of Entrepreneurial Development Studies* (WJEDS), 9(7), 21–29. <https://doi.org/10.56201/wjeds.v9.no7.2024.pg21.29>
- [29] Okafor, H. C., Alaribe, G. N., Okafor, & Chidozie R P. (2024). Exploring the Influence of the Sermon on the Mount on Computer Science Undergraduate Students’ Lifestyle and Mental Well-being. *International Journal of Interdisciplinary Research and Innovations*, 12, 38–44. <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.11219465>
- [30] Pavelko, V., Predyk, A., Radchenia, I., Kanibolotska, O., & Ryabokin, Y. (2025). The Use of Digital Technologies in Primary Education through the Prism of Potential and Challenges. *Metaverse Basic and Applied Research*, 4, 219. <https://doi.org/10.56294/mr2025219>
- [31] Radu, A. F., & Petcu, I. (2024). Digital Technologies Used to Combat Disinformation and Fake News. *Romanian Cyber Security Journal*, 6(1), 15–28. <https://doi.org/10.54851/v6i1y202402>
- [32] Shaheen, P., & Shaikh, I. R. (2024). The Role of Digital Technologies in Education: Benefits and Challenges. *International Research Journal on Advanced Engineering and Management (IRJAEM)*, 2(06), 2029–2037. <https://doi.org/10.47392/IRJAEM.2024.0299>
- [33] Shalvi, S., Levine, E., Thielmann, I., Jayawickreme, E., van Rooij, B., Teodorescu, K., Schurr, A., Furr, M., Aglioti, S. M., Zettler, I., Cohen, T. R., Pittarello, A., Barkan, R., Köbis, N., Leib, M., Mitkidis, P., Schulz, J. F., Dimant, E., van Kleef, G., ... Ritov, I. (2024). The Science of Honesty: A Review and Research Agenda. <https://doi.org/10.31234/osf.io/at4xc>
- [34] Thomas, A., & De Bruin, G. P. (2024a). Student academic dishonesty: What do academics think and do, and what are the barriers to action? *African Journal of Business Ethics*, 6(1). <https://doi.org/10.15249/6-1-89>
- [35] Thomas, A., & De Bruin, G. P. (2024b). Student academic dishonesty: What do academics think and do, and what are the barriers to action? *African Journal of Business Ethics*, 6(1). <https://doi.org/10.15249/6-1-8>
- [36] Zha, L. (2024). The Impacts of Digital Economy on the Digital Transformation of Small and Medium-sized Enterprises. *Advances in Economics, Management and Political Sciences*, 143(1), 172–178. <https://doi.org/10.54254/2754-1169/2024.GA18970>