STATCOM in Power Systems: Operation, Modelling, Control Strategies, and Applications

Rutika Dilip Kumbhar[1], Prof. S.S.Patil[2]

NMCE, Peth, Maharashtra, India

Email: rutikakumbhar7@gmail.com

Abstract—

The Static Synchronous Compensator (STATCOM) has emerged as one of the most sophisticated and effective Flexible AC Transmission System (FACTS) devices available in the modern power system toolkit. As power networks worldwide face increasing demands due to the integration of renewable energy sources, electric vehicles, and growing industrial loads, maintaining voltage stability, reactive power balance, and overall power quality has become a critical engineering challenge. STATCOM addresses these challenges by providing fast, continuous, and bidirectional reactive power compensation using advanced power electronics based on Voltage Source Converter (VSC) technology. This paper presents a thorough study of STATCOM, covering its fundamental operating principles, mathematical modelling, control architectures, harmonic performance, and comparative advantages over conventional compensation devices. The paper also explores practical case studies where STATCOM has been successfully deployed in transmission and distribution networks, wind and solar farm integration, arc furnace compensation, and smart grid environments. Key performance indicators including transient response, low-voltage ride-through capability, and harmonic injection levels are discussed. The research concludes by identifying future directions including hybrid STATCOM configurations, multilevel converter topologies, and the convergence of STATCOM with energy storage systems.

Index Terms—STATCOM, FACTS, Voltage Source Converter, Reactive Power Compensation, Power Quality, Voltage Stability, VSC Control, Multilevel Inverter, Smart Grid, Power Electronics.

I. INTRODUCTION

Modern electrical power systems are complex, interconnected networks that must continuously balance power generation and consumption while maintaining voltage and frequency within acceptable limits. In an era of rapid industrialization, proliferation of sensitive electronic loads, and aggressive integration of renewable generation sources, the reactive power management problem has grown significantly in complexity.

Reactive power has a profound impact on voltage profiles, transmission losses, and grid stability. Traditionally, reactive power compensation was achieved through mechanically switched capacitor banks and reactors, synchronous condensers, and thyristor-controlled devices. These solutions suffer from slow response times, discrete step changes, and high maintenance requirements.

The need for a faster, more controllable, and compact alternative led to the development of STATCOM, a power electronics-based device capable of producing or absorbing reactive power instantaneously and continuously. STATCOM belongs to the second generation of FACTS controllers and is based on the Voltage Source Converter (VSC) principle. Unlike the SVC, which relies on thyristors and passive elements, STATCOM uses fully controllable switching devices such as IGBTs or GTOs [1], [3].

II. BACKGROUND AND EVOLUTION OF REACTIVE POWER COMPENSATION

A. A. Historical Development

The concept of reactive power compensation dates back to the late nineteenth century. The invention of the thyristor in the 1950s and its application in the 1970s gave birth to the first generation of FACTS devices. The Static VAR Compensator combined thyristor-controlled reactors with fixed capacitors to provide variable reactive power. However, the reactive power output of SVCs is proportional to the square of the terminal voltage, significantly reducing their effectiveness during voltage dips [2].

Research into fully controllable semiconductor devices in the 1980s led Gyugyi to propose the STATCOM concept in 1976. The first utility-scale STATCOM was commissioned at the Tennessee Valley Authority (TVA) Sullivan substation in 1995 with a rating of +/-100 MVAR [1].

B. B. FACTS Technology Overview

FACTS is a concept introduced by EPRI to describe power electronics-based systems that enhance the controllability and power transfer capability of AC transmission systems. FACTS devices are classified into series devices (SSSC, TCSC), shunt devices (SVC, STATCOM), and combined series-shunt devices (UPFC, IPFC). Among shunt-connected FACTS devices, STATCOM stands out for its superior dynamic performance and compact design.

III. Operating Principle of STATCOM

A. A. Voltage Source Converter Fundamentals

The heart of a STATCOM is the Voltage Source Converter (VSC), which generates a three-phase AC voltage of controllable magnitude and phase angle from a DC bus. In its two-level form, the VSC consists of six IGBTs with anti-parallel diodes arranged in three legs. By modulating the switching pattern using PWM or space vector modulation, the converter synthesizes a sinusoidal output voltage waveform [4]. The DC bus is typically a capacitor bank maintained at a steady-state level by the controller.

B. B. Reactive Power Exchange Mechanism

The reactive power Q exchanged between STATCOM and the AC system through coupling reactance X is given by:

$$\Theta = (\varsigma\sigma \ \xi \ \varsigma\chi \ \xi \ \sigma\iota\nu(\delta)) / \Xi \ \sim \ (\varsigma\sigma / \Xi) \ \xi \ (\varsigma\chi - \varsigma\sigma) \quad \dots (1)$$

When Vc > Vs, reactive power flows from STATCOM into the system (capacitive/leading mode). When Vc < Vs, reactive power is absorbed (inductive/lagging mode). Crucially, reactive power exchange is linearly proportional to Vs, giving STATCOM superior low-voltage performance compared to SVCs [3].

C. C. Real Power Exchange and DC Bus Regulation

A small amount of real power must flow from the AC system to the DC bus to maintain capacitor voltage against switching and conduction losses. This is achieved by introducing a small phase angle delta between Vc and Vs. In advanced applications, a battery or supercapacitor connected to the DC bus transforms the device into a STATCOM with energy storage (STATCOM-ES) capable of both P and Q regulation [8].

IV. Mathematical Modelling of STATCOM

A. A. dq-Frame Dynamic Model

Applying Park's transformation, the STATCOM dynamics in the synchronous dq reference frame are [8]:

$$\Lambda \ \xi \ (\delta\iota\delta/\delta\tau) = -P.\iota\delta + \omega\Lambda.\iota\theta + \varsigma\sigma\delta - \varsigma\chi\delta \quad \dots (2)$$

$$\Lambda \ \xi \ (\delta\iota\theta/\delta\tau) = -P.\iota\theta - \omega\Lambda.\iota\delta + \varsigma\sigma\theta - \varsigma\chi\theta \quad \dots (3)$$

$$X \ \xi \ (\delta\varsigma\delta\chi/\delta\tau) = (3/2) \ \xi \ (\mu\delta.\iota\delta + \mu\theta.\iota\theta) \quad \dots (4)$$

Here, L and R are coupling impedance parameters; id and iq are d-axis and q-axis currents; Vsd and Vsq are AC bus voltage components; Vcd and Vcq are converter voltage components; Vdc is DC bus voltage; md, mq are modulation indices.

B. B. Power Flow Equations

The three-phase real and reactive power injected at the PCC in dq coordinates are:

$$\Pi = (3/2) \ \xi \ (\varsigma\sigma\delta.\iota\delta + \varsigma\sigma\theta.\iota\theta) \qquad \dots (5)$$

$$\Theta = (3/2) \ \xi \ (\varsigma\sigma\theta.\iota\delta - \varsigma\sigma\delta.\iota\theta) \qquad \dots (6)$$

With d-axis aligned along the system voltage vector (Vsq = 0), these simplify to P = (3/2).Vsd.id and Q = -(3/2).Vsd.iq. This decoupling is fundamental to the design of independent P and Q controllers.

V. Control Strategies

A. A. Vector Current Control

The most widely adopted control architecture for STATCOM is the vector current control scheme in the dq rotating reference frame. The outer voltage control loop generates current references based on the error between the measured AC bus voltage and its setpoint. Inner current control loops using PI regulators track these references. The PI controller outputs, after decoupling compensation, form the modulation voltage references that drive the PWM modulator [3]. Phase-Locked Loop (PLL) synchronization is critical for accurate dq transformation.

B. B. Direct Voltage Control

In Direct Voltage Control, the STATCOM reactive current reference is determined directly by a voltage droop characteristic. The droop slope defines the sensitivity of reactive power output to voltage deviation and allows multiple STATCOMs to share reactive power burden proportionally. This approach is simpler to implement and inherently provides load-sharing between parallel compensation devices.

C. C. Advanced and Adaptive Control

Beyond classical PI-based vector control, numerous advanced control strategies have been researched. Model Predictive Control (MPC) optimizes switching sequence over a finite prediction horizon. Fuzzy Logic Controllers handle inherently nonlinear system behavior. Sliding Mode Control provides robustness against parameter uncertainties. Neural network-based controllers have been explored for self-tuning and adaptive compensation in environments with rapidly changing load characteristics.

VI. Harmonic Performance and Filtering

A. A. Harmonic Generation Mechanisms

While STATCOM is significantly cleaner than thyristor-based SVCs, it does produce harmonic currents as a byproduct of the switching process. In a two-level VSC with sinusoidal PWM, the dominant harmonics appear at multiples of the switching frequency (typically 2-5 kHz) and their sidebands. Three-level NPC converters reduce harmonic content by halving the effective voltage step. Cascaded H-bridge multilevel converters achieve near-sinusoidal output with minimal filtering [11].

B. B. Filtering Approaches

Several filtering strategies are employed to ensure STATCOM compliance with harmonic standards such as IEEE 519 [7] and IEC 61000-3 [12]. Passive LC filters tuned to the dominant switching harmonics are commonly placed at the STATCOM output terminals. Active Power Filters integrated into the STATCOM control algorithm can inject compensating harmonic currents. Transformer magnetic flux cancellation exploits harmonic cancellation at the primary terminals.

VII. COMPARATIVE EVALUATION

A comprehensive comparison between STATCOM and competing reactive power compensation technologies is essential for equipment selection. Table I summarizes key performance parameters.

TABLE I. **TABLE I**

Comparative Analysis of Reactive Power Compensation Technologies

Parameter	STATCOM	SVC	Cap. Bank	Sync. Cond.
Response	<1 cycle	2-3 cyc	Slow	Slow
Reactive P	Both	Both(ltd)	Lead	Both
Losses	Low	Moderate	V.Low	High
Size	Compact	Large	Mod.	V.Large
Harmonics	Minimal	Signif.	None	None
LV Perf.	Excellent	Degrades	Poor	Good
Cost	High	Moderate	Low	V.High

The most significant advantage of STATCOM over SVC is its behavior at low voltage. SVC reactive power output decreases with the square of the terminal voltage, collapsing precisely during fault-induced voltage dips. STATCOM maintains rated current output and thus provides reactive power proportional to voltage, giving far superior support during the most critical system disturbances. This characteristic is decisive in renewable energy applications where Low Voltage Ride-Through (LVRT) compliance is mandatory under grid codes [9].

VIII. APPLICATION CASE STUDIES

A. A. Transmission Voltage Support

A +/-200 MVAR STATCOM installed at a mid-point substation of a 400 kV corridor demonstrated an 18% increase in maximum power transfer capability, along with improvement in post-fault voltage recovery time from approximately 800 milliseconds to under 200 milliseconds.

B. B. Wind Farm Grid Integration

A 50 MVAR STATCOM installed at the point of common coupling of a 150 MW offshore wind farm provided the necessary reactive current injection during voltage dips, enabling the wind farm to ride through faults without disconnection. The STATCOM also continuously compensated for reactive power absorbed by the collection network cables, improving overall farm efficiency [10].

C. C. Arc Furnace Flicker Mitigation

A +/-30 MVAR STATCOM installed at an EAF steel plant reduced the Pst (short-term flicker severity) index from 1.8 to below 0.5, well within the EN 50160 standard limit of 1.0. The sub-cycle response capability of the STATCOM was essential, as the reactive power fluctuations of the arc furnace occur at rates exceeding what SVC technology can track [12].

D. D. Distribution STATCOM (D-STATCOM)

A D-STATCOM of +/-5 MVAR rating installed at a 33 kV industrial feeder compensated for reactive power and balanced unbalanced loads through independent control of phase currents. Voltage unbalance was reduced from 3.2% to 0.6%, improving the performance and longevity of three-phase motor drives across the feeder [9].

IX. EMERGING TRENDS AND FUTURE DIRECTIONS

A. A. Hybrid STATCOM Configurations

Hybrid compensation systems that combine STATCOM with passive elements or thyristor-controlled banks offer a cost-effective approach. In a typical hybrid configuration, a STATCOM rated at perhaps 20% of the total compensation requirement handles dynamic compensation, while thyristor-switched capacitor banks cover the steady-state reactive power need.

B. B. Multilevel Converter Topologies

Cascaded H-bridge (CHB) multilevel converters have become the dominant topology for high-voltage STATCOM installations. By stacking multiple H-bridge cells in series per phase and employing phase-shifted PWM, CHB converters generate near-sinusoidal waveforms with minimal filtering requirement, low device voltage stress, and inherent modularity. The Modular Multilevel Converter (MMC) topology is increasingly applied to STATCOM with ratings exceeding 300 MVAR [11].

C. C. STATCOM with Energy Storage

The integration of battery energy storage systems (BESS) or supercapacitors with STATCOM enables simultaneous real and reactive power control (STATCOM-ES). This combination is particularly valuable in grids with high renewable penetration, where the storage element provides frequency regulation and power smoothing while the STATCOM portion handles fast voltage support [8].

D. D. Wide Bandgap Semiconductor Devices

Silicon Carbide (SiC) and Gallium Nitride (GaN) wide bandgap semiconductor devices offer significantly lower switching losses at higher frequencies compared to silicon IGBTs, enabling reduced filter size and weight. Early commercial STATCOM installations using SiC devices have demonstrated efficiency improvements of approximately 0.5 to 1.0 percentage points over a device's 20-year operational lifetime.

X. CONCLUSION

This paper has presented a comprehensive study of the Static Synchronous Compensator, examining its fundamental principles, mathematical foundations, control architectures, harmonic characteristics, and wide-ranging applications in modern power systems. STATCOM has firmly established itself as an indispensable tool in the power system engineer's arsenal.

The linear relationship between STATCOM reactive power output and terminal voltage, as opposed to the quadratic relationship of SVC, gives it decisive advantages in supporting voltage during fault conditions, enabling renewable energy LVRT compliance, and stabilizing sensitive industrial processes. The continuous evolution of power electronics continues to expand STATCOM's performance envelope while reducing its cost per MVAR.

Looking forward, the convergence of STATCOM with energy storage, the deployment of modular multilevel converter architectures, and integration into smart grid frameworks represent the frontier of FACTS technology research. As power systems worldwide undergo a fundamental transition toward decarbonized, digitized, and decentralized architectures, STATCOM and its successors will play an increasingly central role in ensuring grid stability, power quality, and reliability.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The authors thank the faculty and staff of NMCE, Peth, Maharashtra, India for their support and guidance throughout this research work.

REFERENCES

[1] [1] N. G. Hingorani and L. Gyugyi, Understanding FACTS: Concepts and Technology of Flexible AC Transmission Systems. New York: IEEE Press/Wiley-Interscience, 2000.

[2] [2] L. Gyugyi, "Reactive power generation and control by thyristor circuits," IEEE Trans. Ind. Appl., vol. IA-15, no. 5, pp. 521-532, 1979.

[3] [3] C. Schauder and H. Mehta, "Vector analysis and control of advanced static VAR compensators," IEE Proc. C, vol. 140, no. 4, pp. 299-306, 1993.

[4] [4] R. Mohan, T. M. Undeland, and W. P. Robbins, Power Electronics: Converters, Applications, and Design, 3rd ed. Hoboken, NJ: Wiley, 2003.

[5] [5] P. Kundur, Power System Stability and Control. New York: McGraw-Hill, 1994.

[6] [6] M. H. Rashid, Ed., Power Electronics Handbook, 4th ed. Oxford: Butterworth-Heinemann, 2017.

[7] [7] IEEE Standard 519-2022, "IEEE Standard for Harmonic Control in Electric Power Systems," IEEE, New York, 2022.

[8] [8] A. Yazdani and R. Iravani, Voltage-Sourced Converters in Power Systems. Hoboken, NJ: IEEE Press/Wiley, 2010.

[9] [9] B. Singh, R. Saha, A. Chandra, and K. Al-Haddad, "Static synchronous compensators (STATCOM): A review," IET Power Electron., vol. 2, no. 4, pp. 297-324, 2009.

[10] [10] F. Blaabjerg, R. Teodorescu, M. Liserre, and A. V. Timbus, "Overview of control and grid synchronization for distributed power generation systems," IEEE Trans. Ind. Electron., vol. 53, no. 5, pp. 1398-1409, 2006.

[11] [11] S. Kouro et al., "Recent advances and industrial applications of multilevel converters," IEEE Trans. Ind. Electron., vol. 57, no. 8, pp. 2553-2580, 2010.

[12] [12] IEC 61000-3-7:2008, Electromagnetic Compatibility (EMC) - Part 3-7: Limits. IEC, Geneva, 2008.