ISSN: 3107-6513

An AI-Driven Smart Mobile System for Medical-Waste Collection and Segregation

V. Nithyasri
Department of Computer Science and Engineering (AI & ML), Arunai Engineering College, Tiruvannamalai, Tamil Nadu, India
Email: nithyasrivijayan17@gmail.com

Abstract—
Sorting and collecting medical waste by hand can be error-prone. Some objects go to the wrong bin, bins can become overfilled, pickups can be late, and vehicles may have to take a longer route than necessary. This paper describes a mobile platform that makes use of AI-based waste classification, IoT-based bin monitoring, route planning, and tracking. An image classifier determines what items are thrown away, while ultrasonic sensors keep track of how full each bin is. When one of the bins is almost full, it notifies the staff, who can then request a pickup. The management can approve the request and a suggested route to the collector can be made. Each object can be given an ID and by using GPS technology, the transport of the waste from pickup to its final destination can be tracked. The prototype makes use of free and open-source tools, keeping testing costs low, and the system can scale from one hospital to many.

Keywords— *Medical waste management, AI-based image classification, IoT, smart bins, route optimization, waste tracking, hospital digitization.*

1. INTRODUCTION

Every day, hospitals, clinics and diagnostic centres produce waste that may be infectious, sharp or otherwise hazardous, and it must be handled and disposed of with care [1], [2]. Much of this work is still done by hand, from sorting and recording to transport, and errors can enter at any point. Four problems come up again and again.

Incorrect segregation is the first. A busy member of staff can easily drop an item into the wrong bin. Overflow is the second: if nobody checks a bin's level regularly, it may already be full when someone finally looks. Third, collection is often late, because without live information on which bins need emptying it is hard to time pickups well. Finally, routing is inefficient. Vehicles frequently follow a fixed route instead of going where pickups are actually waiting, which costs travel time, fuel and effort for the collectors.

Any one of these may seem minor. Combined, they increase the risk of contamination, make proper procedures harder to follow, and expose hospital staff and collectors to more danger. A system that supports segregation, monitoring, collection and transport together could make the whole process easier to manage.

The platform proposed here brings classification, bin monitoring, collection management and route planning into one mobile-first application. A shared database connects the stages: a classified item, a bin's current level or a verified collection request is visible to whoever needs it, and nobody has to switch between separate tools.

The work has five objectives. It aims to reduce incorrect segregation by using an AI image classifier to help identify waste when it is discarded. It also aims to monitor bin fill levels continuously, so that a bin's status is known before it is full, and to raise automatic alerts when a level gets high so that collection can be arranged in time. To cut the time and effort of collection, it suggests an efficient route for pending pickups. Lastly, it keeps a complete digital record of each item, from generation to final disposal, using a unique Waste ID and GPS tracking.

These objectives come directly from the problems above. The first four address segregation, monitoring, collection and routing, and the fifth adds traceability. With such records, a hospital can see how waste was handled at each stage and confirm that collection and disposal were properly documented.

The paper describes the design of the system and a software-first prototype built with free and open-source technologies. The following sections cover the proposed solution, the technical approach, feasibility and risks, expected benefits, an evaluation plan and future work. Classification accuracy has not yet been measured, and the sensing hardware has not been tested in a working hospital. Both are left for future work, and the evaluation plan in Section 6 explains how they would be assessed.

2. PROPOSED SOLUTION

The system is a single platform that covers the stages of medical-waste handling. It classifies waste with AI at the point of disposal, monitors bin fill levels with IoT sensors, plans collection routes and tracks waste until final disposal. Hospital staff, management and collectors all communicate through one mobile application, so separate tools for classification, bin monitoring and collection management are unnecessary.

A. Problem Addressing

A dedicated module is assigned to address each of the four identified challenges.The AI image-classification module identifies waste before it enters the collection process, which addresses incorrect segregation. Ultrasonic sensors measure how full each bin is, and a high reading triggers an alert, so overflow no longer depends only on manual checks.

Late collection is tackled with notifications: creating a collection request notifies management, who verify it before it is handed to a collector. A route-planning module then proposes a route based on where pickups are pending. GPS tracking and digital records follow the waste through collection until it reaches disposal.

B. Innovation and Uniqueness

No single technology here is new. What the system contributes is the way it joins existing ones (AI image classification, IoT monitoring, GPS tracking and route planning) in one medical-waste platform, so that the output of each stage feeds the next.

The classification result, for example, is attached to the waste record. The bin status triggers a collection request, and the verified pickup locations go to the route-planning module. Information moves continuously from generation through collection to disposal. People also stay in control: staff can review

ISSN: 3107-6513

or correct the AI classification, and management must verify a request before anyone is sent to collect.

C. System Architecture

The platform is organised into four main layers, presentation, application, processing and data, supported by sensing and location inputs and used by three groups: hospital staff, management and waste collectors. The users work through a mobile-first interface written in HTML, CSS and JavaScript. It talks to a Python Flask application layer, which handles login, waste classification, bin monitoring, alerts, collection management and waste tracking.

The processing layer sits behind it. An AI/ML image classifier determines the type of medical waste from an uploaded image, and a route-optimisation module orders the pending collection locations into a suitable route.

Data comes in from several sources. A camera photographs the waste, ultrasonic sensors report bin fill levels, and maps and GPS provide the location data for collection and routing.

Finally, classification results, bin status and collection records are stored in a database, which can be MySQL or Firebase. Together, the layers form one platform for managing and tracking medical-waste collection.

TABLE I. LAYERED ARCHITECTURE OF THE PROPOSED PLATFORM

Layer	Components / Responsibility
User layer	Hospital staff, management/administrators, waste collectors
Presentation layer	Mobile/web application (HTML, CSS, JavaScript)
Application layer	Flask backend — login, classification, bin monitoring, alerts, collection management, tracking
Processing layer	AI/ML image classifier; route-optimisation module
Sensing & location inputs	Camera; ultrasonic sensors; maps and GPS
Data layer	MySQL or Firebase — classification results, bin status, collection records

Because the layers are separate, any component can be swapped without disturbing the others. MySQL could be replaced with Firebase, or a different classifier could be used, and staff and collectors would notice no difference in the app.

D. Design Rationale

Three decisions shaped the design.

1. Software-first design: Software, workflow and data handling come first. The idea can therefore be demonstrated and refined before any money goes into expensive hardware.

2. Unified platform: Classification, bin monitoring, collection and tracking share one interface and one database. Nothing has to be entered twice, and the information is easier to manage.

3. Human-in-the-loop: Staff can correct the AI classification, and management verifies collection requests before they are assigned. Automation does the routine work, but people keep control.

E. System Requirements

Functionally, the platform provides separate logins for hospital staff, management and collectors. It classifies medical waste from images and lets staff correct a result by hand. It monitors bin fill levels, updates bin status and raises an alert when a bin is nearly full; the resulting collection request goes to management for verification. Collectors then see pickup requests in priority order, and the system suggests an efficient route. Each waste item is tracked with a unique Waste ID and GPS until final disposal.

Non-functional requirements are just as important. The platform should be simple enough to use with little training. Offline caching should keep it working where connectivity is poor, with data synchronised later. The architecture should extend from one hospital to several, and free and open-source technologies are preferred because they are easier to maintain and update.

3. TECHNICAL APPROACH

The technology stack is software-first, which allows quick prototyping and later scaling. The frontend uses JavaScript, HTML and CSS, with a mobile-facing view for hospital staff and collectors. The backend is written in Python with Flask and stores classification results, bin status and collection records in MySQL or Firebase. An AI/ML image-classification model identifies the waste and ultrasonic IoT sensors monitor bin levels. Maps and GPS support vehicle tracking and route display, and an algorithm-based module calculates the collection routes.

A. Software Workflow

Table II traces the software workflow from the moment waste is generated in the hospital to its safe disposal, including classification, alerting, verification, routing and tracking.

TABLE II. END-TO-END SOFTWARE WORKFLOW

Stage	Description
1. Waste Generation	Staff deposit waste at the point of origin.
2. Smart Bin	IoT bin receives the item for classification.
3. AI Classification	Camera and AI model classify the waste type.
4. Segregation	Waste is routed to the correct compartment.
5. Fill-Level Detection	Ultrasonic sensors monitor bin level.
6. Alert Generation	Alert raised once a bin nears capacity.
7. Mgmt. Verification	Management verifies the collection request.
8. Mobile App Entry	Staff log the pickup via the mobile app.
9. Database Update	Data is synced to the central database.
10. Collector Notification	Collectors are notified of pending pickups.
11. Route Optimization	Algorithm computes an efficient route.
12. Vehicle Collection	Collectors follow the optimised route.
13. GPS Tracking	Vehicle is tracked in real time.
14. Safe Disposal	Waste is delivered; record is closed out.

The fourteen stages form five phases: generation and classification (stages 1–4), monitoring and alerting (5–6), verification and record-keeping (7–9), dispatch and route optimisation (10–11), and collection, tracking and disposal (12–14). Each phase hands its output to the next through the central database, which gives every user the same information whatever role they sign in with.

B. Technology Stack

Table III lists the chosen technologies. Mostly free and open-source tools were selected, which keeps the cost of a working prototype low and lets an individual hospital adopt the system without a large initial investment. Because the layers communicate through the backend, components such as the

ISSN: 3107-6513

database or mapping service can be replaced to suit a hospital's needs without affecting the rest.

TABLE III. TECHNOLOGY STACK OF THE PROPOSED SYSTEM

Layer	Technology	Purpose
Frontend	HTML, CSS, JavaScript	User interface for staff, management, collectors
Mobile app	Companion mobile view	Access for hospital staff and collectors
Backend	Python, Flask	Application logic and services
Database	MySQL or Firebase	Classification, bin status, collection records
AI/ML	Image-classification model	Waste-type identification
IoT sensing	Ultrasonic sensors	Bin fill-level monitoring
Mapping	Google Maps or Leaflet, GPS	Vehicle tracking, route visualisation
Routing	Algorithm-based module	Collection route computation

C. User Roles and Access

Three groups use the system. Hospital staff generate the waste and record pickup details; they can also review or override the AI classification and log pickups through the mobile app. Management verifies collection requests and follows operations through live dashboards and reports. Collectors receive pickup notifications, follow the optimised route and deliver the waste for safe disposal. Everyone signs in through the login module and sees only the functions their role needs, which keeps the interface simple for staff with little time for training.

D. Staff Login

The login module is the entry point to the platform, and staff, management and collectors must all sign in before doing anything else. Every classification, verification and pickup entry is therefore tied to a specific user. This gives accountability, because the system can show who deposited the waste, who verified the request and who completed the pickup.

E. AI-Based Waste Image Classification

When a staff member deposits waste, the smart bin's camera captures an image and sends it to the AI/ML classification model, which is developed in Python. The model assigns the item to one of four colour-coded categories (Yellow, Red, White or Blue), and the item is directed to the matching compartment. A classifier will sometimes be wrong, so staff can change the result with an override option, an important safeguard that is discussed under risks in Section 4. Once classified, each item receives a unique Waste ID that links its classification record to every later stage of the workflow.

F. Smart-Bin Fill-Level Monitoring

The fill-level module tracks how full each smart bin is. An ultrasonic sensor measures the distance from the sensor to the surface of the waste; as the bin fills, that distance shrinks, and the reading is converted into an estimated fill level. Readings go to the backend and are stored with the bin status, which keeps the live dashboards current. If the network is unreliable, readings can be stored locally and synchronised when the connection returns (see Section 4). Continuous monitoring reduces manual inspection and shows management which bins need attention before they overflow.

G. Automated Alerts and Management Verification

As a bin's fill level approaches capacity, the system raises an alert automatically, so staff do not have to notice the problem themselves. The alert creates a collection request that goes to management for verification. Staff record the pickup through the mobile application, and the data is synchronised with the central database. Verification keeps a person involved: management confirms the request is valid before a collector is sent. This can prevent unnecessary trips and avoids depending entirely on sensor readings, which may sometimes be inaccurate or noisy.

H. Collector Dashboard and Pickup Queue

Once a request is verified, the collector is notified of the pending pickup. The collector dashboard lists requests in a prioritised queue, so urgent ones are handled first instead of in arbitrary order. The queue also feeds the route-optimisation module, which uses the pending pickups to build an ordered route.

I. Route Optimization

The route-optimisation module takes three inputs: the locations of pending pickups, the vehicle's current GPS position and the available map data. From these it calculates an efficient route, which appears on a map in the collector dashboard and which the collector follows during collection. This is expected to reduce time, fuel use and physical effort, as discussed in Section 5.

J. End-to-End Waste Tracking

The Waste ID assigned at classification connects the classification, alert, verification, pickup and disposal records of a single item. During collection, GPS tracks the vehicle in real time, so administrators can follow the pickup on the live dashboard. The record is closed when the waste reaches its designated disposal location, which completes tracking from generation to final disposal.

K. Data Management

The database is the common source of information for the whole platform. It holds the classification results, bin status and collection records described above, and the Waste ID links an item's first classification record to its later collection and disposal records. Because everything is stored centrally, a stage does not need to know how the previous one was carried out; it simply reads the current record. MySQL is a conventional relational option, while Firebase is a hosted database with built-in synchronisation. Either can be chosen depending on the infrastructure a hospital already has.

L. Illustrative Scenario

To see the workflow as a whole, consider one waste item from start to finish. This is an illustration only, not an experimental result. A staff member puts an item into a smart bin, and the AI model classifies its image. The item goes to the matching compartment and is tracked through the remaining stages by its Waste ID. As more waste is added, the ultrasonic sensor reports a rising fill level, and the system raises an alert when the bin nears capacity. Management verifies the collection request, staff record the pickup through the mobile app, and the data is synchronised with the central database. Collectors are then notified, and the route-optimisation module works out an efficient route. The collector follows it while GPS tracks the vehicle. When the waste reaches the safe disposal location, the record is closed. At every

ISSN: 3107-6513

point, anyone who needs it can check the current status of the item and the bin.

4. FEASIBILITY AND VIABILITY

A. Practicality

The system depends on technologies that already exist, namely AI-based image classification, GPS and ultrasonic sensors. A working prototype can therefore be built with free and open-source tools, without relying on complex or untested technology. The architecture is also meant to scale, starting in one hospital and growing to a larger network without a complete redesign.

B. Risks and Backup Plans

Three main risks were identified during development. The AI model may sometimes classify an image wrongly; the manual override lets staff correct the classification immediately. Sensor readings or network connectivity may become unreliable in parts of a hospital with weak signal; to cover this, both the bins and the mobile application cache data offline and synchronise once connectivity is restored. Staff may also find a new digital system hard to adopt, since it changes their existing workflow. A simple, guided interface and dedicated training sessions [3] should help, as people should not be left to learn the system on their own.

TABLE IV. IDENTIFIED RISKS AND MITIGATION MEASURES

Risk	Mitigation
AI model misclassifies waste images	Manual override lets staff correct the AI classification
Unreliable sensors or network in low-signal areas	Offline data caching in bins and mobile app, synced once connectivity is restored
Staff resistance to a new digital system	Simple, guided interface and dedicated staff-training sessions

C. Scalability and Deployment

The architecture is designed to grow in stages. Deployment begins at a single hospital, where the workflow can be tested and refined under everyday conditions. It can then extend to a full hospital network, next to several hospitals across a city or region, and eventually connect with government health and waste-tracking systems. Since every hospital would run the same software platform, adding one would mostly mean registering its users and bins rather than rebuilding infrastructure.

D. Limitations

The current work has three main limitations. The classification model has not been quantitatively evaluated, so its accuracy under real hospital conditions is unknown; the manual override reduces the effect of this but cannot remove it. The system has also not been validated at more than one hospital. Finally, integration with government health and waste-tracking systems is planned but not yet implemented. None of this changes the basic design contribution of the paper, but it does limit what can be claimed about real-world performance.

The design also rests on a few assumptions. Staff are assumed to put waste into the smart bin so the camera can capture each item, the classifier is assumed to work reasonably well for a particular hospital's waste streams, and collectors are assumed to be able to use the mobile app while following their routes. There is a trade-off between automation and human control as well: the manual override and management verification add some effort to the workflow, but they guard against errors that a fully automated process might let through. Similarly, the software-first approach speeds up prototyping and keeps costs low, yet hardware questions such as sensor placement and calibration inside real bins are left for later validation.

In a real deployment, the main recurring costs would be backend and database hosting, staff training and, once physical bins are installed, sensors, cameras and their maintenance. The software stack uses free and open-source tools, so licensing costs should stay low, which makes the platform practical for single hospitals as well as larger networks. A detailed cost analysis depends on a hospital's size and existing infrastructure and is outside the scope of this paper.

5. IMPACT AND BENEFITS

The system is expected to benefit every major group involved in medical-waste management rather than a single stage of the process.

Hospitals: Easier compliance with medical-waste regulations and safer handling of waste inside the hospital.

Administrators: Better visibility of operations through live dashboards and reports.

Collectors: Optimised routes that can reduce time, fuel use and physical effort during pickups.

Environment: Less improper disposal and a lower risk of contamination.

The platform is also built with the long term in mind. It can be expanded to more hospitals and cities, and the data it collects could eventually help policymakers improve waste-management guidelines. Connection to government health and waste-tracking systems is possible in the future.

TABLE V. CONVENTIONAL PRACTICE VERSUS THE PROPOSED SYSTEM

Aspect	Conventional practice	Proposed system
Segregation	Manual, prone to human error	AI-based classification at the source
Bin monitoring	Bins overflow before staff notice	Real-time ultrasonic fill-level monitoring
Collection	Delayed by lack of real-time visibility	Instant alerts and collector notifications
Routing	Inefficient, non-optimised routes	Algorithm-based route optimisation
Traceability	Limited visibility after collection	GPS tracking and digital records

For hospitals, the main benefit is that compliance can be demonstrated from recorded information rather than merely claimed. Every item is classified, verified, collected and sent for disposal through recorded steps, so the hospital can show how it was handled from generation to disposal whenever required. Classifying waste at the source may also lower the chance of staff or collectors handling hazardous items incorrectly, which improves safety inside the hospital.

Administrators gain visibility into bin status, pending requests and collection progress through live dashboards and reports, so decisions can rest on current information instead of manual checks that may already be out of date. Collectors can save time, fuel and effort on optimised routes. Together, these improvements should reduce recurring problems such as overflowing bins and delayed pickups.

Less improper disposal and a lower risk of contamination also support environmental protection and public health. More broadly, the collected data could help policymakers refine

ISSN: 3107-6513

guidelines, and integration with government systems would let hospital-level records contribute to regional monitoring instead of staying within one hospital.

6. PROPOSED EVALUATION PLAN

This paper focuses on system design and a software prototype rather than a deployed system, so it reports no experimental results. To make the claims above measurable, this section describes how the system could be evaluated once a pilot deployment is possible.

Classification performance would be assessed by comparing the model's predicted category with the correct one on a labelled set of medical-waste images. Overall accuracy and per-category error rates would be reported, along with how often staff override the result; frequent overrides would show that the model needs further work.

For monitoring and alerting, the fill levels reported by the sensors would be compared with the bins' actual levels, and the delay between a bin reaching its alert threshold and management receiving the alert would be measured. Route optimisation would be judged by comparing the distance and travel time of optimised routes against unoptimised ones for the same pickup locations, which tests the routing module's real benefit rather than assuming it.

Traceability would be checked by confirming that every Waste ID has a complete set of records from generation to safe disposal, with no missing stages. Usability and adoption would be assessed through structured feedback from hospital staff after training. This matters because a system that staff are unwilling to use cannot deliver the expected benefits, however good its technical performance.

Evaluation would begin with a pilot at a single hospital, the first phase of the deployment plan in Section 7. Because each workflow stage is recorded in the central database, many measures can be taken directly from system records. They can then be compared against a baseline period of conventional manual handling at the same hospital, which gives a like-for-like comparison instead of one against an idealised alternative.

7. FUTURE WORK

Future work follows three directions, each tied to a limitation identified in Section 4-D.

- **Classification accuracy:** the prototype's accuracy will be improved and measured properly using the evaluation plan in Section 6, rather than being treated as an untested assumption.
- **Multi-site validation:** the system will be validated at several hospitals through a phased deployment: (1) a single hospital, (2) an entire hospital network, (3) multiple hospitals and cities, and (4) integration with government health and waste-tracking systems.
- **Government integration:** the platform will be connected to government health and waste-tracking infrastructure, so individual hospital records can contribute to wider regional monitoring rather than staying isolated.

The ultrasonic sensing and smart-bin hardware also still need testing under real hospital conditions, to confirm that the monitoring and alerting workflow performs reliably in practice, as it is meant to in the current software-only prototype.

8. CONCLUSION

This paper presented an AI-driven, software-first platform that brings together waste classification, smart-bin monitoring, collection management, route optimisation and disposal tracking to address common operational problems in hospital medical-waste management. By combining artificial intelligence, IoT monitoring and route optimisation in one mobile-first system, it offers a practical, scalable way to make medical-waste handling safer, more efficient and traceable from generation to disposal. Next steps are to improve and evaluate the prototype's classification accuracy, validate the system at multiple hospitals and integrate it with government health and waste-tracking infrastructure.

REFERENCES

[1] Central Pollution Control Board, “Guidelines for Management of Healthcare Waste as per Biomedical Waste Management Rules, 2016,” CPCB, Government of India. https://cpcb.nic.in/uploads/projects/bio-medical-waste/guidelines_healthcare_june_2018.pdf

[2] United Nations Environment Programme, “Healthcare Waste: What to Do with It?,” UNEP. https://www.unep.org/news-and-stories/story/healthcare-waste-what-do-it

[3] United Nations Environment Programme, “Compendium of Technologies for Treatment/Destruction of Healthcare Waste,” UNEP. https://www.unep.org/resources/report/compendium-technologies-treatmentdestruction-healthcare-waste

[4] J. Raju, M. Tom, and L. James, “Improving Biomedical Waste Segregation Through the Implementation of a QR Code–Enabled Mobile Audit and Alert System,” Open Forum Infectious Diseases. https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC12793375/

[5] Central Pollution Control Board, “Revised Guidelines for Common Bio-Medical Waste Treatment Facilities,” CPCB, Government of India. https://cpcb.nic.in/uploads/Projects/Bio-Medical-Waste/Common_Bio_Medical_Waste_treatment_facilities.pdf